## FRANKLIN LAKES PUBLIC SCHOOLS <br> DR, LYDIA E. FURNARI SUPERINTENDENT <br> FEBRUARY 13, 2018

## NEW JERSEY SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORTS

- New Jersey School Performance Reports for the 2016-2017 school year were sent to NJ school districts in January 2018.
- Data contained in these reports was collected in 2016-2017 including state assessment results, attendance records, course enrollment, grades, length of school day, and staffing ratios.
- The reports are intended to inform parents, educators and communities about how well a school is performing and preparing its students for college and careers.


## NEW JERSEY SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORTS

 - Metrics indicative of College and CareerFocus

- Performance against District and State tacating

Benchmark

- Individual student performance
- Longitudinal student growth
- School-wide outcomes


## PEEFFRMANCE REPPRTT CATEGORRES

- Demographic - Enrollment, language diversity, ethnicity, program participation, length of school day, suspension/expulsion rate, instructional time, staff attendance, and ratio of students to staff.
- Academic Achievement - Student outcomes on PARCC, participation rate, results and performance, as well as the NJASK Science and NAEP results if applicable.
- Student Growth - Information about school-wide student growth year after year.
- College \& Career Readiness - Chronic absenteeism (elementary \& middle schools) and participation in Algebra I and Visual \& Performing Arts courses.
- School Climate - Learning environment, staffing and student discipline


# CHANGES TO THE SCHOOL PERFPRMANCE REPORTS 

- Summary Reports provide easy to read highlights for each school
- Teacher \& Administrator Experience
- In school and out of school suspensions
- Preschool and Kindergarten offerings
- Other industry-valued credentials
- A summative rating based on overall proficiency, student growth, graduation and chronic absenteeism


## SCHOOL-WIDE PERFORMANCE

- The portion of student scores on a subject area PARCC assessment that fell into the levels of "Met Expectations" (level 4) and "Exceeded Expectations" (level 5).
- The grade level data was aggregated to determine schoolwide performance.



# STUDENT GROWTH PERCNTHEG METHOROLOGY 

Student Growth Percentile (SGP)-measure of a student's progress from grade 4 to grade 8, in
Language Arts or grade 3 to 7 in Math, when compared to students with a similar test score history.

| 1-34 | $35-65$ | 66-99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Low Growth | Typical Growth | High Growth |

## PERCENTILE EXPLAINED

- Students are compared with other students in the same grade, who have taken the same assessments, and who have scored similarly on those assessments over time. (Reference Group)
- In NJ this calculation was intended to help us to interpret the data in transition from NJASK to PARCC and beyond
- A student's SGP begins with the scaled score achieved by a student as compared with their reference group.


## PERCESTILE EXP-AINER

- A percentile rank within the reference group is determined by the position between 1-99 of a student's scaled score.
- This student's scale score was higher than $57 \%$ of her academic peers (reference group)
- This is typical growth
[Between 35-65]



## MEDIAN SGP

- The median Student Growth Percentile mSGP is derived from the median of the scores of a group (class, school, grade level, district, state)
- The middle or median score is then compared with scores of those students in NJ taking the assessment. The State median is always 50 .
- The scale score is then ranked from 1-99, as we saw with the individual SGP, determining the percentile rank. Remember 35-65 is considered typical growth.


## GROWTH PERCENTLE RANK

Medionn Growth Score

- Median of the scores of all test takers for ELA or for Math in a school.


Median

## State Percentiles

- State Growth Percentile Percentile rank of the school's growth when compared with the growth of all schools in NJ.
- District Percentiles
- District Growth Percentile Percentile rank of the school's growth when compared with the growth of all District schools, ONLY IF MORE THAN 5 SCHOOLS IN A DISTRICT SERVE THE SAME GRADE LEVELS


# 2016-17 SCHOOL-WIDE ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT 

Colonial Road School

| School-wide <br> Performance | Summative <br> Statewide <br> Percentile <br> Rank | District <br> Percentile <br> Rank | Participation <br> Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA $77.5 \%$ <br> Math 62.9\% | 62nd | NA | $96.3 \%$ <br> $96.3 \%$ |

High Mountain Road School

| School-wide <br> Performance | Summative <br> Statewide <br> Percentile <br> Rank | District <br> Percentile <br> Rank | Participation <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA 82.8\% <br> Math78.5\% | 89th | NA | $100 \%$ |

Woodside Avenue School

| School-wide <br> Performance | Summative <br> Statewide <br> Percentile <br> Rank | District <br> Percentile <br> Rank | Participation <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA 67.6\% | 74th | NA | $96.1 \%$ |
| Math 66.7\% |  |  | $96.2 \%$ |


| School-wide <br> Performance | Summative <br> Statewide <br> Percentile <br> Rank | District <br> Percentile <br> Rank | Participation <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA $76.7 \%$ <br> Math $62.1 \%$ | 33rd | NA | $98 \%$ <br> $97.7 \%$ |

Colonial Road School

| Median <br> Student <br> Growth | Statewide <br> Median <br> Student <br> Growth | Met Target <br> of 40 | Participation <br> Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA 47 50 <br> Math 43 50Met Target <br> Met Target | $96.3 \%$ |  |  |
| $96.3 \%$ |  |  |  |

## Woodside Avenue School

| Median <br> Student <br> Growth | Statewide <br> Median <br> Student <br> Growth | Met Target <br> of 40 | Participation <br> Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA 45 | 50 | Met Target | $96.1 \%$ |
| Math 55 | 50 | Met Target | $96.2 \%$ |

High Mountain Road School

| Median <br> Student <br> Growth | Statewide <br> Median <br> Student <br> Growth | Met Target <br> of 40 | Participation <br> Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA 63 <br> Math 48.5 | 50 | Met Target | $100 \%$ |
| Met Target | $100 \%$ |  |  |

## Franklin Avenue Middle School

| Median <br> Student <br> Growth | Statewide <br> Median <br> Student <br> Growth | Met Target <br> of 40 | Participation <br> Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA 41 | 50 | Met Target | $98 \%$ |
| Math 31 | 50 | Not Met | $97.7 \%$ |

## Colonial Road School

ELA Achievement:
Performance Trend Over 3 Years by Grade


## Colonial Road School

ELA Achievement: Performance Trends by Cohort


## High Mountain Road School

ELA Achievement: Performance Trends by Cohort


## High Mountain Road School

ELA Achievement:
Performance Trends by Cohort

| 80 | $\qquad$ | $\longrightarrow 79$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| 60 68 |  |  |
| 50 |  |  |
| 40 |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |
|  | 2014-15 2015-16 | 2016-17 |
|  | $\sim$-Grade 3,4,5 $\rightarrow$ Grade 4,5 |  |

## Woodside Avenue School

ELA Achievement:
Performance Trends Over 3 Years by Grade


## Woodside Avenue School



# Franklin Avenue Middle School <br> ELA Achievement: <br> Performance Trends Over 3 Years by Grade 



2014-15-150+ students not tested
2015-16 - 80+ students not tested
2016-17-15+ students not tested

## Franklin Avenue Middle School

ELA Achievement:

Performance Trends by Cohort

90 80 70

0


# PARCC ELA Achievement Comparison 2016-17 

Levels 4 \& 5 Meeting or Exceeding Grade Level Expectations

| Grade | Number <br> of Results <br> in District | Franklin <br> Lakes | DFG I | Similarly <br> Sized <br> Districts | Bergen <br> County | State of <br> NJ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 105 | $75 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| 4 | 134 | $75 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
| 5 | 110 | $79 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| 6 | 138 | $77 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $54 \%$ |
| 7 | 141 | $78 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| 8 | 153 | $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 \%}$ | $59 \%$ |
| Overall | $\mathbf{7 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 \%}$ |

Comparison data gathered and provided by Linkit!

## Colonial Road School

Math Achievement:
Performance Trends Over 3 Years by Grade


## Colonial Road School

Math Achievement: Performance Trends by Cohort


## High Mountain Road School

Math Achievement:
Performance Trends Over 3 Years by Grade


## High Mountain Road School

Math Achievement:<br>Performance Trends Over 3 Years by Cohort



## Woodside Avenue School

Math Achievement:
Performance Trend Over 3 Years by Grade


## Woodside Avenue School

> Math Achievement:
> Performance Trends Over 3 Years by Cohort


## Franklin Avenue Middle School

Math Achievement:
Performance Trends Over 3 Years by Grade


Due to the fact that students in grades $7 \& 8$ take one of three assessments, an accurate cohort analysis is not possible

## Franklin Avenue Middle School

Math Achievement Growth:
Grade 5-6 and Grade 6-7


# PARCC Math Achievement Comparison 2016-17 

Levels 4 \& 5 Meeting or Exceeding Grade Level Expectations

| Grade | Number <br> of Results <br> in District | Franklin <br> Lakes | DFG I | Similarly <br> Sized <br> Districts | Bergen <br> County | State of <br> NJ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 105 | $76 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $53 \%$ |
| 4 | 136 | $70 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| 5 | 110 | $63 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $47 \%$ |
| 6 | 138 | $65 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $44 \%$ |
| 7 | 127 | $54 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| 8 | 80 | $35 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| Algebra I | 73 | $93 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| Geometry | 13 | $100 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| Overall | $\mathbf{7 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 \%}$ |

Comparison data gathered and provided by Linkit!

## How Do We Use State and Local Data?



## Student Growth Data

- Reinforces the importance of differentiation for all learners utilizing assessment data to guide instructional decision-making across all measured areas, i.e., ELA and Math.
- Reinforces the need for resources to support high quality instruction that is accessible to all students with emphasis on differentiation, such as common standards-aligned assessments, human resources, time, materials, etc.
- Reinforces the need for high quality, job-embedded professional development.
- Supports District efforts to communicate/ collaborate with other districts regarding their practices
- Principal decision making: i.e., teaching assignments, mentoring, etc.


## Curriculum Process: A Cycle of Continuous Improvement



## Specific Data-based Work

- The Department of Curriculum \& Instruction leads District-wide conversation about specific focus of improvements needed:
- Course Content
- Curricular and Instructional Resources
- Staff Professional Development
- Principals work with teachers to analyze school data and make correlations to resources in use as well as differentiation of instruction for students


# 2017-18: C\&I Initiatives 

2017 NJSLS Curriculum Alignment Math and ELA - PARCC data informed September 2017 revisions.
$\checkmark$ Prioritization of standards
$\checkmark$ Realigned curriculum addresses gaps b/t standards and prior curricula
$\checkmark$ Specific attention to relative weaknesses
ELA sub claims: Reading Literature \& Reading Vocabulary

- Math sub claims: Major Content \& Reasoning


## 2017-18 Improvements to Support Student Achievement: <br> Students:

Standards-aligned, responsive curriculum, instruction, \& assessments
Multi-tiered systems of support for ELA \& Math via K-5 CSI, 6-8 S\&I, and other services i.e., therapy, guidance, etc.
Master scheduling to support intervention, enrichment, movement breaks, and other services Supplemental resources to support differentiation, individualization, and intervention.

## Educators:

Implementation of high quality formative assessments
Opportunities for departmental and grade-level collaboration/ planning informed by assessment data
In district professional supports i.e., K-8 math staff developer, K-5 interventionist/ facilitators Master scheduling: K-5 dedicated time for tiered systems of support.

## COLLEGE \& CAREER READINESS

- At the elementary school level, College \& Career Readiness is measured by attendance of students in school.
- College \& Career Readiness includes attendance, participation in Visual \& Performing Arts and enrollment in Algebral for middle schools
- Absenteeism is the number of days a student is absent from school for any reason.
- Chronic Absenteeism is defined as the number of students absent for $10 \%$ or more of the school year. For example if there are 180 school days, students absent for 18 or more school days for any reason, are considered to be chronically absent.


## CHRONIC ABSENTEEISM

## CRS

## Chronic Absenteeism

Students absent for $10 \%$ or more of days enrolled


School 2.5\%
(Showing Progress: 5.1-10\%, Excelling: 0-5\%)

## HMR

Chronic Absenteeism
Students absent for $10 \%$ or more of days enrolled


School 3\%
(Showing Progress: 5.1-10\%, Excelling: 0-5\%)

## WAS

Chronic Absenteeism

(Showing Progress: 5.1-10\%, Excelling: 0-5\%)

FAMS
Chronic Absenteeism
Students absent for $10 \%$ or more of days enrolled


School 4.5\%
(Showing Progress: 5.1-10\%, Excelling: 0-5\%)

## FAMS Participation in the

 Arts
## Grades 6-8:

Students enrolled in one or more visual and performing arts classes


Students enrolled in one or more classes by discipline:


# SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORTS 

- The data found in these reports are from the 20162017 school year, not the current school year.
- NJDOE designed the School Performance Reports to provide information to educators and stakeholders about some, but not all indicators of achievement.
- The intention is that educators and stakeholders will view and discuss the data to help students to grow and schools to focus their work.


## PERFORMANCE REPORTS

- NJ School Performance Reports can be accessed by visiting the New Jersey Department of Education website. http://www.state.nj.us/education/


# FRANKLIN LAKES PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORTS 2016-2017 DATA 

## QUESTIONS?

